# **Regular Expressions**

Regular expressions can be seen as a system of notations for denoting  $\epsilon$ -NFA They form an "algebraic" representation of  $\epsilon$ -NFA

# "algebraic": expressions with equations such as $E_1 + E_2 = E_2 + E_1$ $E(E_1 + E_2) = EE_1 + EE_2$

Each regular expression E represents also a language L(E)

Very convenient for representing pattern in documents (K. Thompson)

[1]

#### **Regular Expressions: Abstract Syntax**

Given an alphabet  $\Sigma$  the regular expressions are defined by the following BNF (Backus-Naur Form)

 $E ::= \emptyset \mid \epsilon \mid a \mid E + E \mid E^* \mid EE$ 

This defines the *abstract syntax* of regular expressions to be contrasted with the *concrete syntax* (how we write regular expressions; see 3.1.3)

#### **Concrete syntax**

 $01^* + 1 \text{ means } (0(1^*)) + 1$ 

 $(01)^* + 1$  is a different regular expression

 $0(1^*+1)$  yet another one

#### **Regular Expressions: Abstract Syntax**

Notice that

there is *no* intersection operation

there is *no* complement operation

Sometimes there are added (like in the Brzozozski algorithm that we shall explain later)

# **Regular expressions in functional programming**

```
data Reg a =
  Empty | Epsilon | Atom a | Plus (Reg a) (Reg a) |
  Concat (Reg a) (Reg a) | Star (Reg a)
```

For instance

```
Plus (Atom "b") (Star (Concat (Atom "b") (Atom "c"))) is written b + (bc)^*.
```

# **Regular Expressions: Examples**

If  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ 

The expressions  $(ab)^*$  represents the language

 $\{\epsilon, ab, abab, ababab, \dots\}$ 

The expression  $(a + b)^*$  represents the words built only with a and b. The expression  $a^* + b^*$  represents the set of strings with only as or with only bs (and  $\epsilon$  is possible)

The expression  $(aaa)^*$  represents the words built only with a, with a length divisible by 3

# **Regular Expressions: Examples**

If 
$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$$
  
 $(\epsilon + 1)(01)^*(\epsilon + 0)$  is the set of strings that alternate 0's and 1's

Another expression for the same language is  $(01)^* + 1(01)^* + (01)^*0 + 1(01)^*0$ 

[7]

#### **Some Operations on Languages**

Three operations

- 1. *union*  $L_1 \cup L_2$  of two languages  $L_1$  and  $L_2$
- 2. concatenation  $L_1L_2$  this is the set of all words  $x_1x_2$  with  $x_i \in L_i$ . If  $L_1$  or  $L_2$  is  $\emptyset$  this is empty
- 3. closure  $L^*$  of a language;  $L^*$  is the union of  $\epsilon$  and all words  $x_1 \dots x_n$  with  $x_i \in L$

#### **Some Operations on Languages**

**Definition**:  $L^0 = \{\epsilon\}, L^{n+1} = L^n L$ 

Notice that  $\emptyset^* = \{\epsilon\}$  and

$$L^* = L^0 \cup L^1 \cup L^2 \cup \dots = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L^n$$

# Semantics of regular expressions

This is defined by induction on the *abstract syntax*:  $x \in L(E)$  iff x is *accepted* by E

- 1.  $L(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $L(\epsilon) = \{\epsilon\}$
- 2.  $L(a) = \{a\}$  if  $a \in \Sigma$
- 3.  $L(E_1 + E_2) = L(E_1) \cup L(E_2)$
- 4.  $L(E_1E_2) = L(E_1)L(E_2)$
- 5.  $L(E^*) = L(E)^*$

**Theorem:** If L is a regular language there exists a regular expression E such that L = L(E).

We prove this in the following way.

To any automaton we associate a system of equations (the solution should be regular expressions)

We solve this system like we solve a *linear* equation system using *Arden's Lemma* 

At the end we get a regular expression for the language recognised by the automaton. This works for DFA, NFA,  $\epsilon$ -NFA

For the automata with accepting states C and D and defined by

$$A.0 = \{A, B\}, A.1 = B, B.0 = B.1 = C, C.0 = C.1 = D$$

We get the system

$$E_A = (0+1)E_A + 1E_B \qquad E_B = (0+1)E_C \qquad E_C = \epsilon + (0+1)E_D \qquad E_D = \epsilon$$
  
where  $E_S = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid S.w \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$ 

#### Arden's Lemma

Arden's Lemma: A solution of x = Rx + S is  $x = R^*S$ . Furthermore, if  $\epsilon \notin L(R)$  then this is the only solution of the equation x = Rx + S.

We have  $R^* = RR^* + \epsilon$  and so  $R^*S = RR^*S + S$ 

So  $x = R^*S$  is a solution of x = Rx + S

#### Arden's Lemma

For the system

$$E_1 = bE_2$$
  $E_2 = aE_1 + bE_3$   $E_3 = \epsilon + bE_1$ 

we get  $E_1 = bE_2$ ,  $E_3 = \epsilon + bbE_2$  and then

 $E_2 = (ab + bbb)E_2 + b$ 

and hence  $E_2 = (ab + bbb)^*b$  and  $E_1 = b(ab + bbb)^*b$ 

This is the same as the method described in 3.2.2 but it is expressed in the language of *equations* and *eliminating variables* 

We can find a solution of the original system by eliminating states

$$E_A = (0+1)E_A + 1E_B$$
  $E_B = (0+1)E_C$   $E_C = \epsilon + (0+1)E_D$   $E_D = \epsilon$ 

in the following way

$$E_D = \epsilon, \ E_C = \epsilon + 0 + 1, \ E_B = 0 + 1 + (0 + 1)^2$$
 and

$$E_A = (0+1)^* (10+11+1(0+1)^2)$$

How to remember the solution of x = Rx + S?

Notice that we have, if x = Rx + S?

$$x = Rx + S = R(Rx + S) + S = R^2x + RS + S$$

#### and so

$$x = R(R^2x + RS + S) + S = R^3x + R^2S + RS + S$$

and in general

$$x = R^{n+1}x + (R^n + \dots + R + \epsilon)S$$

The result depends on the way we solve the system

For X = aX + bY,  $Y = \epsilon + cY + dX$ 

If we eliminate X first we get  $X = a^*b(c + da^*b)^*$ 

If we eliminate Y first we get  $X = (a + bc^*d)^*bc^*$ 

Hence  $a^*b(c + da^*b)^* = (a + bc^*d)^*bc^*!$ 

# **Elimination of states**

The books present two other methods.

The first method is similar to Warshall's algorithm (see wikipedia)

The second method is by elimination of states, and is in fact the same as the method of equations that I have presented (even if it does not look so similar at first)

#### **Elimination of states**

There is only one formula needed

$$E'_{ij} = E_{ij} + E_{ik}(E_{kk})^* E_{kj}$$

when we eliminate the state k

A nice trick (which is not in the book) is to add one extra initial state and one extra final state

Test if a regular expression denotes the empty language

```
data Reg a =
  Empty | Epsilon | Atom a | Plus (Reg a) (Reg a) |
  Concat (Reg a) (Reg a) | Star (Reg a)
  isEmpty Empty = True
  isEmpty (Plus e1 e2) = isEmpty e1 && isEmpty e2
  isEmpty (Concat e1 e2) = isEmpty e1 || isEmpty e2
  isEmpty _ = False
```

```
Test if a regular expression contains \epsilon
```

```
hasEpsilon :: Reg a -> Bool
```

```
hasEpsilon Epsilon = True
hasEpsilon (Star _) = True
hasEpsilon (Plus e1 e2) = hasEpsilon e1 || hasEpsilon e2
hasEpsilon (Concat e1 e2) = hasEpsilon e1 && hasEpsilon e2
hasEpsilon _ = False
```

```
Test if L(e) \subseteq \{\epsilon\}
atMostEps :: Reg a -> Bool
atMostEps Empty = True
atMostEps Epsilon = True
atMostEps (Star e) = atMostEps e
atMostEps (Plus e1 e2) = atMostEps e1 && atMostEps e2
atMostEps (Concat e1 e2) =
Empty e1 || Empty e2 || (atMostEps e1 && atMostEps e2)
atMostEps _ = False
```

```
Test if a regular expression denotes an infinite language
infinite :: Reg a -> Bool
infinite (Star e) = not (atMostExp e)
infinite (Plus e1 e2) = infinite e1 || infinite e2
infinite (Concat e1 e2) =
 (infinite e1 && notEmpty e2) || (notEmpty e1 && infinite e2)
infinite _ = False
```

notEmpty e = not (isEmpty e)

#### Derivative of a regular expression

If L is a language  $L\subseteq \Sigma^*$  and  $a\in \Sigma$  we define the language L/a (derivative of L by a) by

$$L/a = \{ x \in \Sigma^* \mid ax \in L \}$$

We give an algorithm computing E/a such that L(E/a) = L(E)/a using the equivalence

 $ax \in L$  iff  $x \in L/a$ 

# Derivative of a regular expression

Examples

$$(abab + abba)/a = bab + bba$$

 $(abab + abba)/b = \emptyset$ 

$$(a^*b)/a = (aa^*b + b)/a = a^*b$$

 $((ab)^*a)/a = (ab(ab)^*a + a)/a = b(ab)^*a + \epsilon$ 

#### Derivative of a regular expression

der :: Eq a => a -> Reg a -> Reg a

- der b (Atom b1) = if b == b1 then Epsilon else Empty
- der b (Plus e1 e2) = Plus (der b e1) (der b e2)
- der b (Concat e1 e2) | hasEpsilon e1 =
- Plus (Concat (der b e1) e2) (der b e2)
- der b (Concat e1 e2) = Concat (der b e1) e2
- der b (Star e) = Concat (der b e) (Star e)

der b \_ = Empty

# Application

```
Is a given word in the language defined by a regular expression E?
```

```
isIn :: Eq a \Rightarrow [a] \rightarrow Reg a \rightarrow Bool
```

```
isIn [] e = hasEpsilon e
isIn (a:as) e = isIn as (der a e)
```

This is essentially Ken Thompson's algorithm

This works if we add *intersection* and *complement* 

# **Application:** extended regular expressions

```
data Reg a =
  Empty | Epsilon | Atom a | Plus (Reg a) (Reg a) |
  Concat (Reg a) (Reg a) | Star (Reg a) |
  Inter (Reg a) (Reg a) | Compl (Reg a)
hasEpsilon Epsilon = True
hasEpsilon (Star _) = True
hasEpsilon (Inter e1 e2) = hasEpsilon e1 && hasEpsilon e2
hasEpsilon (Compl e) = not (hasEpsilon e1 || hasEpsilon e2
hasEpsilon (Plus e1 e2) = hasEpsilon e1 || hasEpsilon e2
hasEpsilon (Concat e1 e2) = hasEpsilon e1 && hasEpsilon e2
hasEpsilon (= False
```

# **Application: extended regular expressions**

der :: Eq a => a -> Reg a -> Reg a

der b (Atom b1) = if b == b1 then Epsilon else Empty

- der b (Plus e1 e2) = Plus (der b e1) (der b e2)
- der b (Inter e1 e2) = Inter (der b e1) (der b e2)
- der b (Compl e) = Compl (der b e)
- der b (Concat e1 e2) | hasEpsilon e1 =
- Plus (Concat (der b e1) e2) (der b e2)
- der b (Concat e1 e2) = Concat (der b e1) e2
- der b (Star e) = Concat (der b e) (Star e)

der b \_ = Empty

# Application

Is a given word in the language defined by a regular expression E? The algorithm is the same

```
isIn :: Eq a \Rightarrow [a] \rightarrow Reg \rightarrow Bool
```

```
isIn [] e = hasEpsilon e
isIn (a:as) e = isIn as (der a e)
```

#### Derivatives

Example: x = abba and E = abba + abab

The algorithm works with generalised regular expressions

x = 1010 and  $E = (01 + 10)^* \cap (101)^*$ 

**Theorem:** If E is a regular expression then L(E) is a regular language We prove this by induction on E. The main steps are to prove that if  $L_1, L_2$  are regular then so is  $L_1 \cup L_2$  and  $L_1L_2$ 

if L is regular then so is  $L^\ast$ 

At the end we shall get an  $\epsilon$ -NFA that we know how to transform into a DFA by the subset construction

There is a beautiful algorithm that builds directly a DFA from a regular expression, due to Brzozozski, and we present also this algorithm

**Lemma:** If  $L_1, L_2$  are regular then so is  $L_1 \cup L_2$ 

We have seen a proof of this with the product construction. This is easy also if  $L_1 = L(A_1)$ ,  $L_2 = L(A_2)$  and  $A_1, A_2$  are  $\epsilon$ -NFAs

**Lemma:** If  $L_1, L_2$  are regular then so is  $L_1L_2$ 

**Lemma:** If L is regular then so is  $L^*$ 

This can be seen as an algorithm transforming a regular expression E to an  $\epsilon\text{-NFA}$ 

Example: we transform  $a^* + ab$  to an  $\epsilon$ -NFA

As you can see on this example the automaton we obtain is quite complex

A priori even more complex if we want a DFA

See also Figure 3.18

The idea is to use *derivatives* as *states* 

For instance if  $E = a^* + ab$  we have

$$E/a = a^* + b, E/b = \emptyset$$
  
 $E/aa = a^*, E/ab = \epsilon, E/ba = E/bb = E/b$   
 $E/aaa = E/aa, E/aab = E/aba = E/abb = E/b$ 

We get a DFA with 5 states. The accepting states are the ones that contain  $\epsilon$ 

Other examples

 $E = (a + \epsilon)^*$ 

$$E = F10F$$
 where  $F = (0+1)^*$ 

E = F1(0+1)

Furthermore this algorithm works even with *extended* regular expressions that admit intersections and complements

For instance 
$$E = a(ba)^* - (ab)^*a$$

$$E/a = (ba)^* - (b(ab)^*a + \epsilon), \ E/b = \emptyset$$

$$E/aa = \emptyset, \ E/ab = a(ab)^* - (ab)^*a = E$$

and none of these expressions contains  $\epsilon$ , so  $E = \emptyset$ !

[38]

**Example:** We can prove in this way

 $(01+10)^* \cap (101)^* = \epsilon$ 

More generally we get an algorithm for testing E = F: we build a tree with nodes E/x, F/x for finite x

**Examples:**  $E = (01 + 10)^*$ ,  $F = (101)^*$ 

 $E = (10)^*1, F = 1(01)^*$ 

# Algebraic Laws for Languages

 $L_1 \cup L_2 = L_2 \cup L_1$  Union is *commutative* 

Note: Concatenation is *not* commutative we can find  $L_1, L_2$  such that  $L_1L_2 \neq L_2L_1$ 

 $L\{\epsilon\} = \{\epsilon\}L = L$  $L\emptyset = \emptyset L = \emptyset$  $L(M \cup N) = LM \cup LN$  $(M \cup N)L = ML \cup NL$ 

#### **Algebraic Laws for Languages**

 $\emptyset^* = \{\epsilon\}^* = \{\epsilon\}$  $L^+ = LL^* = L^*L$  $L? = L \cup \{\epsilon\}$  $(L^*)^* = L^*$ 

We write E = F for L(E) = L(F)

For instance

$$(E_1 + E_2)E = E_1E + E_2E$$

follows from

$$(L_1 \cup L_2)L = L_1L \cup L_2L$$

by taking  $L_i = L(E_i), \ L = L(E)$ 

Similarly  $(E^*)^* = E^*$ 

$$E + (F + G) = (E + F) + G, \ E + F = F + E, \ E + E = E, \ E + 0 = E$$
$$E(FG) = (EF)G, \ E0 = 0E = 0, \ E\epsilon = \epsilon E = E$$
$$E(F + G) = EF + EG, \ (F + G)E = FE + GE$$
$$\epsilon + EE^* = E^* = \epsilon + E^*E$$

We have also

$$E^* = E^* E^* = (E^*)^*$$

$$E^* = (EE)^* + E(EE)^*$$

How can one prove equalities between regular expressions?

In usual algebra, we can "simplify" an algebraic expression by rewriting

$$(x+y)(x+z) \rightarrow xx + yx + xz + yz$$

For regular expressions, there is no such way to prove equalities. There is not even a complete finite set of equations.

**Example:**  $L^* \subseteq L^*L^*$  since  $\epsilon \in L^*$ 

Conversely if  $x \in L^*L^*$  then  $x = x_1x_2$  with  $x_1 \in L^*$  and  $x_2 \in L^*$ 

 $x \in L^*$  is clear if  $x_1 = \epsilon$  or  $x_2 = \epsilon$ . Otherwise

So  $x_1 = u_1 \dots u_n$  with  $u_i \in L$ 

and  $x_2 = v_1 \dots v_m$  with  $v_j \in L$ 

Then  $x = x_1 x_2 = u_1 \dots u_n v_1 \dots v_m$  is in  $L^*$ 

Two laws that are useful to simplify regular expressions

Shifting rule

 $E(FE)^* = (EF)^*E$ 

Denesting rule

 $(E^*F)^*E^* = (E+F)^*$ 

# Variation of the denesting rule

One has also

$$(E^*F)^* = \epsilon + (E+F)^*F$$

and this represents the words empty or finishing with  ${\cal F}$ 

#### **Example:**

$$a^*b(c + da^*b)^* = a^*b(c^*da^*b)^*c^*$$

by denesting

 $a^*b(c^*da^*b)^*c^* = (a^*bc^*d)^*a^*bc^*$ 

by shifting

 $(a^*bc^*d)^*a^*bc^* = (a + bc^*d)^*bc^*$ 

by denesting. Hence

 $a^*b(c + da^*b)^* = (a + bc^*d)^*bc^*$ 

**Examples:** 10?0? = 1 + 10 + 100

$$(1+01+001)^*(\epsilon+0+00) = ((\epsilon+0)(\epsilon+0)1)^*(\epsilon+0)(\epsilon+0)$$

is the same as

$$(\epsilon + 0)(\epsilon + 0)(1(\epsilon + 0)(\epsilon + 0))^* = (\epsilon + 0 + 00)(1 + 10 + 100)^*$$

Set of all words with no substring of more than two adjacent 0's

# **Proving by induction**

Let  $\Sigma$  be  $\{a, b\}$ 

**Lemma:** For all n we have  $a(ba)^n = (ab)^n a$ 

**Proof:** by induction on n

**Theorem:**  $a(ba)^* = (ab)^*a$ 

Similarly we can prove  $(a + b)^* = (a^*b)^*a^*$ 

# **Complement of a(n ordinary) regular expression**

For building the "complement" of a regular expression, or the "intersection" of two regular expressions, we can use NFA/DFA

For instance to build E such that  $L(E) = \{0,1\}^* - \{0\}$  we first build a DFA for the expression 0, then the complement DFA. We can compute E from this complement DFA. We get for instance

 $\epsilon + 1(0+1)^* + 0(0+1)^+$