# Agda II – Take One

**Ulf Norell** 

May 10, 2006

- \* ロ ト \* @ ト \* ≧ ト \* ≧ ト = - のへで

Motivation The Basics Features and Not



Introduction

- Motivation
- The Basics
- Features and Not
- 2 Not Yet Features
  - Pi in Set
  - Signatures and Structures
  - Inductive Families
- 3

### Features

- Datatypes
- Definitions by Pattern Matching
- Implicit Arguments
- Module System

# Conclusions

- 문

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶

Motivation The Basics Features and Not

# What's the point?

- of Agda II
  - Solid theoretical foundation (lacking in Agda)
    - Small well-defined core language with nice metatheory.
    - Transparent translation from the full language to the core language.
- of this talk
  - Present the (full) language from a user's perspective.

<ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > <

æ

Motivation The Basics Features and Not

## The Logical Framework

### The Basic Language

(Terms) 
$$s, t$$
 ::=  $x \mid c \mid f \mid st \mid \lambda x \to t \mid \lambda(x : A) \to t$   
(Types)  $A, B$  ::=  $(x : A) \to B \mid A \to B \mid t \mid \alpha$   
(Sorts)  $\alpha, \beta$  ::=  $Set_i \mid Set \mid Prop$ 

• Note: Set  $\neq$  Prop.

### Example: polymorphic identity

 $id : (A : Set) \to A \to A$  $id = \lambda(A : Set)(x : A) \to x$ 

Motivation The Basics Features and Not

# What's there and what's not

- Features
  - Inductive datatypes
  - Functions by pattern matching
  - Implicit arguments
  - Module system
- Not Yet Features
  - $\Pi$  in Set
  - Signatures and structures
  - Inductive families

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > .

 $\Pi$  in Set

## • What does it mean?

We don't have

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : Set \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : Set}{\Gamma \vdash (x : A) \rightarrow B : Set}$$

Pi in Set

Signatures and Structures

**Inductive Families** 

• Consequences:

### We can't do

Rel  $A = A \rightarrow A \rightarrow Prop$ apply : List (Nat  $\rightarrow$  Nat)  $\rightarrow$  List Nat  $\rightarrow$  List Nat

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ めんの

 $\Pi$  in Set

Pi in Set Signatures and Structures Inductive Families

- Why don't we have it?
  - Ask Thierry... (The metatheory gets tricky when you combine  $\eta$ -equality and  $\Pi$  in *Set*.)
- What to do about it:
  - Get the metatheory straightened out (e.g.  $\eta$ -equality for datatypes).
  - Abandon  $\eta$ -equality.
  - Abandon  $\Pi$  in Set.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ .

-21

Pi in Set Signatures and Structures Inductive Families

# Signatures and Structures

• What does it mean?

• In Agda you can say (something like)

```
Pair A B = sig fst : A
snd : B
p : Pair Nat Nat
p = struct fst = 3
snd = 7
three = p.fst
```

- Why don't we have it?
  - We want to start simple.
  - Signatures and structures will appear in Agda II Take Two (but probably not in the same form as in Agda).

<ロト < 団ト < 巨ト < 巨ト - 巨

Pi in Set Signatures and Structures Inductive Families

# **Inductive Families**

- What does it mean?
  - For instance:

```
data Vec (A : Set) : Nat \rightarrow Set where
vnil : Vec A zero
vcons : (n : Nat) \rightarrow A \rightarrow Vec A n \rightarrow Vec A (suc n)
```

- Why don't we have it?
  - The inductive families in Agda are very limited in terms of what you can do with them.
  - We want something better, which will require some thinking.

<ロ> < 四 > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > 三三

Datatypes

Standard, garden-variety, strictly positive datatypes:

**Datatypes** 

**Implicit Arguments** 

**Module System** 

**Definitions by Pattern Matching** 

data Nat : Set where
 zero : Nat
 suc : Nat → Nat

**data** Exist (A : Set) ( $P : A \rightarrow Prop$ ) : Prop where witness : (x : A)  $\rightarrow P x \rightarrow Exist A P$ 

data Acc (A : Set) ((<) :  $A \rightarrow A \rightarrow Prop$ ) (x : A) : Prop where acc : ((y : A)  $\rightarrow$  y < x  $\rightarrow$  Acc A (<) y)  $\rightarrow$  Acc A (<) x

• Note that **data** ... is a declaration (not a term or type).

<ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト = 三日

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

# **Definitions by Pattern Matching**

- Functions are defined by pattern matching
  - Arbitrarily nested, exhaustive, possibly overlapping patterns.
  - No case expressions!

#### < ロ > < 団 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < < つ < </li>

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

# Mutual induction-recursion

• You can have mutually inductive-recursive definitions:

### mutual

| even : | $Nat \rightarrow$ |   | Bool  |  |
|--------|-------------------|---|-------|--|
| even   | zero              | = | true  |  |
| even   | (suc n)           | = | odd n |  |
| odd :  | $Nat \rightarrow$ |   | Bool  |  |

| odd | zero    | = | false  |
|-----|---------|---|--------|
| odd | (suc n) | = | even n |

 I'd show the standard universe construction example of induction-recursion, but you need ∏ in Set for that.

<ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > <

æ

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

## Local functions

• Functions (and datatypes) can be local to a definition:

#### - ▲ ロ ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ④ ♀ @

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

# Termination

- We allow general recursion.
- Termination checking is done separately (as in Agda).
- Example:

| qsort : | List Nat $\rightarrow$    |   | List Nat   |
|---------|---------------------------|---|--|
| qsort   | nil                       | = | nil  |
| qsort   | ( <i>x</i> :: <i>xs</i> ) | = | filter $(\lambda y \rightarrow y < x) xs ++$     |
|         |                           |   | $x :: filter (\lambda y \rightarrow y \ge x) xs$ |

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

# Meta Variables

- There are two kinds of meta variables (only one in Agda):
  - Interaction points: ? and {! ... !}
  - Go figure<sup>1</sup>: \_
- The type checker should be able to figure out the value of a go figure without user intervention...
- ...whereas the value of an interaction point is supplied by the user.
- We use go figures to implement implicit arguments.

<ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > <

æ

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

# **Implicit Arguments**

• Curly braces { } are used to indicate implicitness:

### Syntax

id : 
$$\{A : Set\} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A$$
  
id  $\{A\} x = x$   
zero' = id  $\{Nat\}$  zero

Implicit arguments can be omitted: *id x* means *id* {\_} *x*.
Both in left-hand-sides and right-hand-sides:

$$id : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A$$
  
 $id x = x$ 

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

# Example

```
data List (A : Set) : Set where

nil : List A

(::) : A \rightarrow List A \rightarrow List A

(++) : {A : Set} \rightarrow List A \rightarrow List A \rightarrow List A

nil ++ ys = ys

(x :: xs) ++ ys = x :: (xs ++ ys)
```

Note that constructors are polymorphic:

- $\vdash$  *nil* : *List* A, for any A
- $\nvdash$  nil : {A : Set}  $\rightarrow$  List A.

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 少えぐ

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

# Module System

- Purpose:
  - Control the scope of names.
  - (Not to model algebraic structures.)
- Guiding principle:
  - Scope checking should not require type checking or computation.
- Consequence:
  - Modules are not first class.

- 문

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ 亘▶

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

# Submodules

 Each source file contains a single module, which in turn can contain any number of submodules:

module Prelude where module Nat where

. . .

module List where

. . .

module Fold where

- 4 日 + 4 0 + 4 0

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

## Accessing the Module Contents

• To use a module from a file the module has to be *imported* 

**import** *Prelude* 

• We can then use the names in the module fully qualified

one = Prelude.Nat.suc Prelude.Nat.zero

• Or we can open a module

**open** *Prelude*.*Nat one* = *suc zero* 

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

## Controlling what is imported

We can exercise finer control over what is imported or opened.

```
import Prelude as P
open P.Nat, hiding (+), renaming (zero to z)
open P.List, using (replicate)
zz : P.List.List Nat
zz = replicate (suc (suc z)) z
```

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ .

-21

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

# Controlling what is exported

• Private things are not exported.

```
module BigProof where
private minorLemma = ...
mainTheorem : P == NP
mainTheorem = ...minorLemma ...
```

Abstract things export only their type.

```
module Stack where
abstract
Stack : Set → Set
Stack = List
```

• Private things still reduce, abstract things don't.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ →

- 문

Datatypes Definitions by Pattern Matching Implicit Arguments Module System

# **Parameterised Modules**

• Modules can be parameterised.

```
module Monad (M : Set \rightarrow Set)
(return : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow A \rightarrow MA)
((>>=) : \{A, B : Set\} \rightarrow MA \rightarrow (A \rightarrow MB) \rightarrow MB)
where
liftM : \{A, B : Set\} \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow MA \rightarrow MB
liftM f m = m >>= \lambda x \rightarrow return (f x)
```

### And instantiated

**module** MonadList = Monad List singleton (flip concatMap) lemma :  $\{A, B : Set\} \rightarrow (f : A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (xs : List A) \rightarrow$ map f xs == MonadList.liftM f xs

• You need to instantiate a parameterised module to use it.

SQ (~

# That's it folks

- Agda II is very much work in progress.
- At this point very little is set in stone, so if you think things should be a different way now is the time to speak up.
- Most of what you've seen will be available for use during the 4th Agda Implementors Meeting starting next week in Japan.

<ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > <